

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/527,513	10/26/2005	David B Smathers	020324 223P2	9964	
33805 WEGMAN H	7590 08/14/200 ESSLER & VANDERI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
6055 ROCKSIDE WOODS BOULEVARD			YAN	YANG, JIE	
SUITE 200 CLEVELAND	OH 44131	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	1793			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/527,513	SMATHERS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JIE YANG	1793	

	JIE YANG	1793						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fet have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fet under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extel Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issuappeal; and/or</li> </ul>								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.		be entered and an e	planation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: 16 and 18-20.								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>15 and 21</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entored					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:								
Dev Vine								
/Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	/JieYang/							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Claims 1-14 and 17 are cancelled, claim 21 is amended, and claims 15, 16, and 18-21 are pending in application. This is to acknowledge the receipt of "Rule 132 Declaration" and "amendment after final" filed on 8/10/2009.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

The previous rejection of claims 15 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph as insufficient antecedent basis is withdrawn in view of the applicants' "Rule 132 Declaration" and "amendment after final" filed on 8/10/2009.

The previous rejection of claims 16, 18-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) over Yamakawa et al (JP 11139877 A, thereafter JP877) in view of Komatsu (US 6,242,374 B1, thereafter US'374) is withdrawn in view of the applicants "Rule 132 Declaration" and "amendment after final" filed on 8/10/2009.

Continuation of 7. The "Rule 132 Declaration" is partially persuasive. Claims 16 and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Rejection of Claims 15 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) over Yamakawa et al (JP 11139877 A, thereafter JP'877) in view of Komatsu (US 6,242,374 B1, thereafter US 374) is still maintained because there is no specifical limitation of how much MgC and elemental W in the instant claims. Therefore, the hygroscopic property of MgO and density of W as argued in the "Rule 132 Declaration" filed on 8/10/2009 are not necessary having effect on the sintered alloy as recited in the instant claims. Detail rejection for claims 15 and 21 can refer to the previous office action marked 6/7/2009.

Continuation on 11. does NOt place the application in condition for allowance because there is no specifical limitation how much MgO and elemental W in the instant claims 15 and 21. Therefore, the hygroscopic property of MgO and density of W as argued in the "Rule 132 Declaration" filled on 8/10/2000 are not necessary to affect the sintered alloy as recited in the instant claims. Claims 16 and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jie Yang whose telephone number is 571-2701884. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-2721244.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.